

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: Ref TR050005
Date: 04 April 2019 11:47:29

Dear Mr Singleton.

I was at the open floor meeting at the Molenioux with regards to voice my concerns to the prospect of a Rail Hub at Four Ashes Trading Estate Staffordshire.

I have listed all my concerns as follows, but not in a significant order/

1. The sheer scale of this application is out of context with this very sensitive area and has never been a subject of any planning outline by SSCC.

2. Remove 700 acres of Green Belt land, including 2000 Trees rich in Wildlife is beyond belief. The number of Trees was confirmed at the Applicants presentation.

3. This site will be bordered on three sides, to the North A5, East M6 & West A449. The prospect of 6000 H.G.V'S entering this complex on a daily basis when the fully operational in 2035 will cause serious delays and gridlock when the Motorway is closed due to accidents, which are a regular feature. There is such a short distance from the Motorway Island to the proposed link road through the complex to join the A449, of which an Island is expected to be built.

4. The additional prospect of a proposed 8.500 work force is unrealistic as The Containers involved are all low skilled and mainly automotive. However This area has a very low unemployment, which means that more vehicles entering and leaving this complex.

5. The pollution that surrounds the area will be Air quality, Noise and visual. The erection of 30 Metre buildings will be a sever Blot on the landscape. Presently the view from the A5 is almost a Forest of Trees, but the site of 30 Metre Buildings will be seen for miles away.

6. The prospect of an Hourly Train service throughout the night which will be around half a mile long on the West Coast Line passing thousand of houses close to the Railway line will prove to be a serious conflict, with no doubt unexpected compensation claims.

7. Carbon Monoxide in it's self may not be harmful, but is a serious issue when in a confined area surrounded with 30 Metre Buildings and a potential hazard to any workforce operating within this confined space.

8. Residents of Penkridge were no consulted on this application, but will be seriously affected, by the amount of additional Traffic on an already heavily congested Village, with regular major hold ups with a diversion from the M6, plus the twice weekly Market, which is not uncommon for the stationary vehicles from the Village centre to Gaily Island 3 Miles away and the associated air pollution. Also wonder why Air Monitors have been removed from Gailey.

9 Two Local MP'S Jeremy Lefroy and Gavin Williamson are totally opposed as are the Parish Councils of Coven. Calf Heath and Penkridge, because they know how this application would totally destroy this very sensitive, something that the applicant has no prior knowledge of.

The likely hood of Gaily and Calf Heath disappearing is very real.

10. Penkrige as with Coven will develop in a sustainable way, but cannot absorb such a huge development close by.

11. I will not be here in 2035, but I am concerned with the welfare of a younger generation and many people like myself will try and prevent such a development.

12. Why are two Rail Hubs in Telford and Coventry not used, will this be another scheme and move onto somewhere else

I am just a resident of Penkrige for 45 years and giving my honest opinion.

Kind Regards,

Keith Burton 